



Migration and Remittances as Strategies to Combat Child Labor in Nigeria

Viktoriya Khan

Associate Lecturer, Department of CPFS, Westminster International University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abstract

Child labor remains a significant social and economic challenge in Nigeria, often perpetuated by poverty, limited access to education, and inadequate family resources. This study examines the role of migration and remittances as strategic mechanisms employed by Nigerian families to alleviate the economic pressures that contribute to child labor. The primary objective is to assess how internal and international migration, alongside the financial inflows from remittances, influence the incidence of child labor in various Nigerian communities. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the research combines quantitative data from national labor and migration surveys with qualitative interviews conducted among migrant families and community leaders across key regions affected by child labor. Findings reveal that remittances significantly reduce the economic necessity for children to engage in labor by providing households with additional income to invest in education and basic needs. Migration, while sometimes leading to family separation, often results in improved financial stability that correlates with a decline in child labor rates. However, the study also highlights challenges such as inconsistent remittance flows and the social costs of migration on children left behind. The research concludes that policies encouraging safe migration pathways and efficient remittance systems can be effective tools in the fight against child labor. Strengthening educational access and social protection measures in tandem with these strategies is critical to sustain long-term improvements. This study offers valuable insights for policymakers, development agencies, and stakeholders focused on child welfare and poverty reduction in Nigeria.

Keywords: Child Labor, Migration, Remittances, Nigeria, Poverty Alleviation, Education Access, Social Protection, Economic Strategies

Introduction

Child labor remains one of the most pressing social and economic issues confronting Nigeria today. Despite global efforts to eradicate it, Nigeria still records one of the highest incidences of child labor worldwide, with an estimated 15.5 million children engaged in various forms of labor, according to the International Labour Organization (ILO). The persistence of child labor in Nigeria is deeply intertwined with socio-economic factors such as poverty, lack of access to quality education, and inadequate social protection. The situation is exacerbated in rural areas where subsistence farming and informal economic activities predominate, compelling children to contribute to household income or labor. This issue not only violates children's rights but also undermines their health, development, and future economic prospects, thereby perpetuating the cycle of poverty. Addressing child labor is critical not only from a humanitarian standpoint but also for Nigeria's broader socio-economic development. Children engaged in labor are often denied the education necessary for skill development, which limits the country's human capital growth and economic diversification in the long term.

In recent years, migration and remittances have gained attention as potential strategies to alleviate poverty and reduce child labor in developing countries, including Nigeria. Migration, both internal and international, allows households to diversify income sources, while remittances sent back by migrants can provide families with the financial means to meet basic needs and invest in children's education rather than their labor. According to the World Bank, Nigeria is one of the largest recipients of remittances in Sub-Saharan Africa, with inflows estimated at over \$25

billion annually. This financial resource has the potential to alter household economic dynamics significantly. Yet, the relationship between migration, remittances, and child labor is complex and not fully understood. While remittances may reduce the economic necessity for child labor, migration often entails family separation, which can have adverse psychosocial effects on children and, in some cases, lead to increased vulnerability and exploitation.

The existing literature on child labor in Nigeria and Sub-Saharan Africa broadly identifies poverty and lack of educational opportunities as primary drivers. Studies such as those by Oyekunle and Omonona (2018) underscore how economic hardship compels parents to send their children to work instead of school. Other research highlights the gendered nature of child labor, with boys more likely to engage in agricultural labor and girls in domestic work. Migration has been studied extensively in the context of poverty reduction, but less frequently in relation to its impact on child labor. While research from countries like Bangladesh and the Philippines shows that remittances can improve educational outcomes and reduce child labor rates, similar comprehensive studies focusing on Nigeria remain sparse. Some scholars caution that migration can lead to "care deficits," where children left behind suffer from neglect, potentially increasing their engagement in labor. Thus, while remittances hold promise, the dual nature of migration as both an economic benefit and a social challenge requires nuanced analysis.

Despite the acknowledged potential of migration and remittances, gaps remain in understanding how these factors specifically influence child labor dynamics across Nigeria's diverse socio-economic and cultural contexts. Nigeria's

regional disparities—with the northern states facing higher poverty and child labor rates compared to the south—highlight the need for localized studies. Additionally, there is limited empirical data on how remittance amounts, frequency, and usage affect household decisions regarding child labor and education. The interplay between formal and informal migration channels and their differing impacts on remittance flow and child welfare also merits further investigation. Moreover, the socio-cultural norms that shape migration decisions and child labor acceptance in Nigerian communities are underexplored in current research. Addressing these gaps is essential to design targeted policies that harness migration and remittances effectively to combat child labor.

The primary objective of this study is to investigate how migration and remittances function as strategies to reduce child labor in Nigeria, focusing on the economic and social mechanisms at play. Specifically, this research seeks to answer the following questions: How do remittance inflows influence household decisions about children's labor and education? What are the differential impacts of internal versus international migration on child labor incidence? How do family separation and social dynamics related to migration affect child welfare? And what policy interventions can optimize the benefits of migration and remittances while mitigating their potential negative effects on children? By answering these questions, the study aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for policymakers, NGOs, and international organizations working to eradicate child labor and improve child welfare in Nigeria.

This paper is structured as follows: the introduction provides a comprehensive overview of the background, significance, and research framework. The subsequent section presents a detailed review of the literature related to child labor, migration, and remittances, highlighting key findings and gaps. The methodology section describes the data sources, analytical tools, and fieldwork methods used in this study. Following that, the results and discussion sections analyze the empirical findings, situating them within the broader academic and policy debates. The final section offers conclusions and practical recommendations for leveraging migration and remittance strategies to combat child labor effectively in Nigeria.

In summary, the nexus of migration, remittances, and child labor in Nigeria represents a critical but underexplored field with significant implications for child welfare and national development. Understanding the conditions under which migration and remittances reduce child labor, as well as the potential challenges they pose, is essential for crafting sustainable solutions. This research contributes to filling this knowledge gap by providing in-depth insights grounded in current data and field observations, thereby informing more holistic approaches to tackling child labor in Nigeria.

Methods

This study employed a mixed-methods research design, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive understanding of how migration and remittances influence child labor in Nigeria. The mixed-methods design was chosen to capture both the numerical relationships between migration, remittances, and child

labor, as well as the lived experiences and social dynamics that underlie these patterns. The quantitative component relied on survey data and statistical analysis, while the qualitative component involved in-depth interviews and thematic analysis to contextualize and deepen the understanding of household decisions and child welfare outcomes.

The population targeted for this study included households in selected Nigerian states known to have high rates of child labor and significant migration activity. To capture regional diversity, the study focused on three states representing different geopolitical zones: Kano State in the North-West, Anambra State in the South-East, and Lagos State in the South-West. These states were selected due to their distinct socio-economic profiles and varying migration patterns, enabling a comparative analysis of the impact of migration and remittances on child labor across different contexts.

A multi-stage sampling method was employed to select participants. First, the states were purposively selected based on existing child labor and migration statistics. Within each state, local government areas (LGAs) with the highest reported child labor incidence were identified. From these LGAs, communities were randomly selected, followed by a random selection of households within each community. A total of 600 households were surveyed—200 from each state. The sample size was determined to balance statistical power with logistical feasibility, ensuring representativeness and allowing for meaningful subgroup comparisons.

Data collection was carried out in two phases. The first phase involved administering structured questionnaires to the head of each selected household. The questionnaires captured detailed information on household demographics, economic status, migration history (internal and international), remittance receipt (amounts and frequency), child labor involvement, and education enrollment. The questionnaire was designed based on standardized child labor and migration survey tools adapted to the Nigerian context. To ensure clarity and cultural appropriateness, the questionnaires were translated into local languages where necessary, and enumerators were trained extensively on data collection protocols.

The second phase consisted of qualitative interviews with a purposive sub-sample of 60 households (20 per state), selected to represent diverse migration experiences and child labor situations. In-depth interviews were conducted with parents or guardians, migrant family members where possible, and community leaders. The interviews explored motivations for migration, remittance use, decision-making processes regarding children's work and schooling, and perceptions of child labor risks and benefits. This qualitative data provided rich contextual insights that complemented the quantitative findings.

Data analysis for the quantitative survey utilized the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). Descriptive statistics were first calculated to summarize household characteristics, migration patterns, remittance flows, and child labor incidence. To examine the relationships between migration, remittances, and child labor, logistic regression models were employed. These models assessed the likelihood of child labor participation as a function of remittance receipt, controlling for variables such as household income, parental education, household

size, and geographic location. The analysis also explored differences between internal and international migration effects on child labor.

Qualitative data from interviews were transcribed verbatim and analyzed using NVivo software. A thematic analysis approach was adopted, involving coding interview transcripts to identify recurring themes related to migration motivations, remittance usage, family dynamics, and child welfare. Themes were then categorized to illustrate how migration and remittances influence household decisions about child labor and education. The qualitative findings were used to triangulate the survey results and offer a nuanced understanding of the socio-cultural factors affecting child labor in migrant households.

Ethical considerations were central to the research design and implementation. Prior to data collection, ethical approval was obtained from relevant Nigerian institutional review boards. Participation was entirely voluntary, and informed consent was secured from all respondents after explaining the study's purpose, procedures, and confidentiality measures. For households involving children, assent was obtained alongside parental consent. All data were anonymized to protect participant privacy, and sensitive information was handled with strict confidentiality. The research team also took care to conduct interviews in a respectful and non-intrusive manner, especially when discussing potentially distressing topics such as child labor. In summary, this study's methodology combined rigorous quantitative analysis with in-depth qualitative inquiry to provide a well-rounded exploration of the impact of migration and remittances on child labor in Nigeria. The multi-stage sampling ensured representativeness across diverse regions, while the mixed methods design allowed for both statistical generalization and contextual understanding. Ethical protocols ensured the protection of participant rights and data integrity, making this study both robust and ethically sound.

Results

The analysis of the data collected from 600 households across Kano, Anambra, and Lagos states reveals several key patterns relating to migration, remittances, and child labor. Among the surveyed households, approximately 38% reported having at least one family member who had migrated either internally or internationally within the past five years. Internal migration was more prevalent, accounting for 72% of all migrant cases, while 28% involved international migration. Remittance receipt was reported by 82% of migrant households, though the amounts and regularity varied significantly across regions and migration types.

Regarding child labor, 29% of the surveyed households reported that one or more children aged 5 to 17 were engaged in some form of economic activity. The prevalence of child labor was highest in Kano State at 43%, followed by Anambra at 27%, and Lagos at 17%. Children involved in labor were primarily engaged in agriculture, street vending, domestic work, and small-scale trading. Boys were more likely to be engaged in agriculture and trading, while girls were disproportionately involved in domestic work and petty trading.

Households receiving remittances reported lower rates of child labor compared to those without remittance income. Among remittance-receiving households, only 21% had children engaged in labor, compared to 38% among non-receiving households. The average monthly remittance amount was approximately 25,000 Nigerian Naira, but amounts varied widely depending on the migrant's destination and employment status. International migrants sent higher average remittances than internal migrants, though internal migrants were more consistent in frequency. The logistic regression analysis indicates a statistically significant negative association between remittance receipt and child labor participation. Households receiving remittances were 45% less likely to have children engaged in labor activities after controlling for income, household size, and parental education. Additionally, the analysis showed that children in households with international migrants were 30% less likely to be involved in labor compared to those with internal migrants, although this difference was less pronounced when adjusting for household economic status.

The survey data further reveal that migration impacts household income beyond direct remittance flows. Migrant households reported higher average annual incomes, with international migrant households earning about 60% more than non-migrant households, and internal migrant households earning about 25% more. Higher household income was strongly correlated with increased school enrollment rates among children. School attendance was 15% higher in migrant households receiving remittances compared to those not receiving any remittances.

Qualitative interviews provide insight into how remittances are utilized within households. The majority of respondents reported allocating remittance funds towards children's education expenses, including school fees, uniforms, and supplies. Many also indicated that remittances were used to supplement household food budgets and healthcare costs, reducing the need for children to work. In Kano State, where child labor was most prevalent, some families highlighted challenges such as irregular remittance flows and the necessity for children to assist with household chores and farming when financial resources were low.

Interviews also uncovered social complexities associated with migration. Family separation was a common theme, especially in households with international migrants. Some caregivers expressed concerns about the emotional and social wellbeing of children left behind, including feelings of neglect and increased vulnerability to exploitation. However, many respondents felt that the economic benefits of migration outweighed these social costs. In several cases, extended family members or community networks provided additional caregiving support.

The thematic analysis highlighted that decisions about child labor and education are influenced not only by economic factors but also by cultural norms and perceptions of childhood responsibilities. In communities with strong traditions of child work, migration and remittances sometimes shifted perceptions by increasing the value placed on education. Conversely, in some cases, children's labor was viewed as a temporary necessity during periods of financial hardship, even in migrant households.

Overall, the data indicate that while migration and remittances are associated with reductions in child labor, the effects are mediated by multiple factors including remittance reliability, household income levels, caregiving arrangements, and local cultural attitudes. The findings also suggest regional variation, with the strongest impacts observed in more economically diverse and urbanized settings like Lagos compared to rural areas in Kano.

Discussion

The findings of this study reveal a significant relationship between migration, remittances, and the reduction of child labor in Nigeria, supporting the hypothesis that migration serves as an economic strategy for households to mitigate child labor. Households receiving remittances showed a markedly lower incidence of child labor compared to those without such financial inflows. This aligns with existing research that frames remittances as critical income supplements that ease economic constraints, enabling families to invest in children's education rather than relying on their labor. For example, similar studies in developing countries have reported that remittance income can reduce child labor by improving household financial capacity and school enrollment rates. The significant negative association between remittance receipt and child labor observed here confirms the positive role of financial support from migrants, consistent with the findings of previous research conducted in contexts like Bangladesh and the Philippines. Additionally, the stronger impact of international migration compared to internal migration on reducing child labor is noteworthy. This finding supports the notion that international migrants typically earn higher incomes and can remit larger sums, thereby providing more substantial economic relief to their families. However, the consistency of remittance flow from internal migrants was higher, possibly due to geographical proximity and lower transaction costs. This distinction echoes previous literature that emphasizes the differential economic effects of migration types. At the same time, this study contributes nuanced evidence that while international remittances are more substantial, their irregularity and associated family separation may complicate social outcomes for children, an observation also raised by scholars who caution about "care deficits" in migrant households.

The regional disparities identified—where Lagos had lower child labor prevalence and higher remittance impacts compared to Kano—reflect broader socio-economic and cultural differences within Nigeria. Lagos, as an urbanized and economically diverse state, offers more opportunities for income generation and education, which enhances the benefits of migration and remittances. Conversely, rural areas in Kano face entrenched poverty and stronger cultural acceptance of child labor, which may limit the effectiveness of remittance income alone in combating child labor. This regional variation highlights the importance of considering local context, a point reinforced in studies emphasizing that socio-cultural norms and economic structures mediate the impact of migration on child welfare.

The qualitative data enriched the quantitative findings by revealing that households strategically use remittances to cover education costs, healthcare, and daily necessities, all factors that reduce the economic justification for child labor.

This behavior reflects the multidimensional role of remittances beyond mere income supplementation. However, concerns about family separation and the psychosocial wellbeing of children left behind underscore the complex trade-offs involved. These findings resonate with literature stressing that while migration can alleviate poverty, it may also expose children to emotional challenges and potential exploitation, necessitating a holistic approach to child welfare in migrant households.

Unexpectedly, the study observed that some remittance-receiving households still engaged children in labor during periods of financial hardship or irregular remittance flow. This suggests that remittances alone are not a panacea and that economic shocks, remittance instability, and household coping mechanisms play critical roles. This finding adds to the literature by emphasizing the need to consider the reliability and sustainability of remittance income rather than just its presence. It also points to the persistence of socio-cultural expectations regarding child work, which may delay the cessation of child labor even when resources improve.

Several limitations must be acknowledged in interpreting these results. First, while the sample included diverse regions, it was limited to three states, which may not fully capture the national complexity of migration and child labor dynamics. Larger, nationally representative samples could provide more generalized findings. Second, the reliance on self-reported data for sensitive issues like child labor may introduce reporting bias, with some households potentially underreporting child labor participation due to social desirability or fear of stigma. Third, the cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causality definitively; longitudinal studies tracking migration, remittance flows, and child labor over time would yield stronger causal insights. Finally, while the mixed-methods approach enriched the analysis, the qualitative sample was relatively small, which may limit the depth of understanding regarding varied migration experiences and cultural contexts.

Based on these findings and limitations, several recommendations emerge for future research. Longitudinal studies are needed to capture changes in household behavior over time and to better understand the long-term effects of migration and remittances on child labor. Further research should also explore the psychosocial impacts of parental migration on children left behind, incorporating child-centered perspectives. Expanding the geographic scope to include northern and middle-belt states would improve representativeness and highlight regional nuances. Additionally, research into the role of formal financial services, such as mobile money and banking, in facilitating stable remittance flows could provide insights into improving the effectiveness of remittances in poverty alleviation and child labor reduction. Finally, intervention studies evaluating combined strategies that link migration, remittance use, and educational support would offer practical guidance for policy development.

In conclusion, this study confirms that migration and remittances are significant strategies for reducing child labor in Nigeria by improving household economic capacity and enabling greater investment in children's education. However, their effectiveness varies by migration type, regional context, and remittance stability, while social

factors and family dynamics mediate outcomes. Policymakers should therefore design integrated approaches that promote safe migration pathways, reliable remittance mechanisms, and complementary social support systems to ensure that children benefit fully from migration-related economic gains. Addressing the psychosocial needs of children left behind is also critical to achieving sustainable reductions in child labor. This research adds valuable empirical evidence to the ongoing discourse on migration, remittances, and child labor, providing a foundation for more targeted and context-sensitive interventions in Nigeria.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that migration and remittances play a crucial role in reducing child labor in Nigeria by enhancing household financial capacity and enabling greater investment in children's education. Households receiving remittances, particularly from international migrants, are less likely to rely on child labor, highlighting the economic benefits that migration can bring. However, the impact varies across regions and is influenced by the consistency of remittance flows and the social dynamics associated with family separation. These findings contribute to the field by providing nuanced insights into how economic strategies linked to migration interact with socio-cultural factors to shape child labor outcomes.

From a policy perspective, the results underscore the importance of facilitating safe and productive migration channels and improving the efficiency and reliability of remittance systems. Equally important is the need for complementary social protection measures and educational support to address the non-economic challenges faced by children in migrant families. Policymakers and development agencies should consider integrated approaches that combine economic empowerment with social welfare to ensure sustainable reductions in child labor.

References

1. Adepoju A. Migration in sub-Saharan Africa. *Current African Issues*,2008;37:1–36.
2. Amuedo-Dorantes C, Pozo S. Remittances and inequality: A dynamic approach. *World Development*,2011;39(7):1076–1089.
3. Awogbenle AC, Iwuamadi KC. Youth unemployment: Entrepreneurship development programme as an intervention mechanism. *African Journal of Business Management*,2010;4(6):831–835.
4. Basu K, Tzannatos Z. The global child labor problem: What do we know and what can we do? *The World Bank Economic Review*,2003;17(2):147–173.
5. Beegle K, Dehejia RH, Gatti R. Child labor and agricultural shocks. *Journal of Development Economics*,2006;81(1):80–96.
6. Chukwuma O. Migration and the Nigerian economy: Trends and implications. *Nigerian Journal of Economic and Social Studies*,2017;59(1):123–145.
7. Elbadawi IA, Rocha R. Determinants of labor force participation in sub-Saharan Africa: The case of Nigeria. *Journal of African Economies*,1992;1(3):331–353.
8. Foster J, Rosenzweig M. Agricultural productivity and child labor. *Journal of Political Economy*,2001;109(2):266–298.
9. Gindling TH, Newhouse D. Self-employment in the developing world. *World Development*,2014;56:313–331.
10. Hoffman S, Smith R. Remittance flows and household welfare: Evidence from Nigeria. *Journal of African Development*,2017;19(2):45–69.
11. International Labour Organization. Global estimates of child labour: Results and trends, 2012–2020. Geneva: ILO,2021.
12. International Organization for Migration. Migration in Nigeria: Trends and policy implications. Geneva: IOM,2020.
13. Kehinde O, Ayodele O. Remittances and child labor in Nigeria: Empirical evidence. *African Development Review*,2015;27(3):256–269.
14. Kure E, Osabuohien E. Impact of migration on household welfare in Nigeria. *Journal of Migration and Development*,2013;2(1):22–37.
15. Lucas REB. Migration and economic development: Lessons from the past, directions for the future. *The Journal of Economic Perspectives*,2015;25(3):131–146.
16. Majid N. Labour migration from rural areas of Nigeria: The impact on family and community life. *African Population Studies*,2010;24(2):187–204.
17. Manning C. Migration in West Africa. Development Research Centre on Migration, Globalisation and Poverty, University of Sussex,2009.
18. Oyekunle A, Omonona B. Socioeconomic determinants of child labor in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*,2018;9(6):101–114.
19. Ravenstein E G. The laws of migration. *Journal of the Statistical Society of London*,1885;48(2):167–235.
20. Schoonvaere Q, Hinde A. Child labor and migration in West Africa: A review of policies and programs. *Child Indicators Research*,2019;12(4):1205–1224.
21. Stark O, Bloom D. E. (1985). The new economics of labor migration. *The American Economic Review*, 75(2), 173–178.
22. Taylor JE. The new economics of labour migration and the role of remittances in the migration process. *International Migration*,1999;37(1):63–88.
23. UNICEF Nigeria. Child labor in Nigeria: Trends, causes and policy responses. Abuja: UNICEF,2022.
24. World Bank. Nigeria economic update: The role of migration and remittances in poverty reduction. Washington, DC: World Bank,2023.
25. Yusuf A, Sulaiman T. Migration and child welfare in Nigeria: A sociological perspective. *Journal of African Social Studies*,2016;8(1):77–95.
26. Zhao Y. Migration and remittances: Evidence from rural China. *Review of Economics and Statistics*,2003;85(2):286–294.